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## Book One

## The Formation of Words (تَكَوُّن الْكَلِلَمَاتِ)

## مِنَ الْحُرُوْفِ الْهِجَائِيَّةِ تَتَرَكَّبُ الْكَلِمَاتُ

From the Letters of the (Arabic) Alphabet words are constructed.

## Explanation:

الََٔلِف Each one of us knows the Letters of the (Arabic) Alphabet, the first of which is the and the last of which is the الْلْاء .

From these letters are formed: allathe words thatwe utter in our conversations and use in our
 (diligence), نَجَاًٌ (success, passing), etc.

The لْكَلِمَمَة (word) can consist of:
(1) one letter, like the الْبَأَمَاء (in, with) in) (In the Name of Allah), and
 you (your bosom)],
(2) two letters, like: "مِنْ" (from, of), "فِني" (in, at),
(3) three letters, like: "عِنَبَ" " (grapes), "شَجَر" " (trees),

(5) five letters, like: "سَفَرْرُكَل" "
(6) six letters, like: "زَعْغَرَرَان" " (saffron), or
(7) seven letters, like: "اسْتِفْهَامَام"ا" (questioning)

Furthermore, the لْ لْكَلِمَة does not exceed this number (i.e. it cannot be made up of more than seven letters).

## The Types of Word (أَنْوَاع للْكَلِمَاتِ)



They (ie. the الْكَلِمَاتا ) are divided into three types:
 write), and "اُكَتْبْب"" (Write!),
 (sparrow), and "تُفَّاحَمَ" "حَّا" (apple), and

- a type called "حَرْفَ" (particle), like: "هـلْ" (question article), "فِيْ" (in) and "لَمْ" (did not)


## Explanation:

All the الْكَلِمَاتات that are formed from the Letters of the (Arabic) Alphabet are confined to three types only:

- a type called "فِعْل" (verb),
- a type called "اسْمْمُ" "آْمْ" (noun) and
- a type called "حَرْف" (particle).

The الْفِعْلا is like:

كَتَتَبَ (wrote)
(rolled)
(Roll!)
Iِ إْطَلَقَ (went)
(Go!)



يُدَحْرِجُ (roll/will roll)


اِنْطَلِِقْ
and every other word that denotes the occurrence of an action in a particular time.

The الاسْم (which includes nouns, pronouns, adjectives, adverbs, etc.) is like:
 "سَمَاء" (heaven, sky), "شَمْمْس" " قَمَر" " (mun), and other examples which we use to refer to people and things. Of these are: the names of people, the names of mountains, rivers and countries, and every other word that denotes animals, plants or inanimate objects.

The الْحَرْفِ (which includes prepositions, interrogative particles, negative particles, future particles, conjunctions), is like:
 towards), "ثُمَّ" (then, thereafter, after awhile), and every other word that denotes a meaning that does not become apparent except when used with other words.

## The Categories of Verb (أَقْسَام الْفِعْلِ)

## " ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

The لْفِعْلْ is divided into three categories:

- مَاضِ (past, perfect), like: "كَتَبَب" (wrote)
- مُصَأَرِعًا (present or future, imperfect), like: "يَكْتُعْبُ" (write, will write)
- أَمَر (command, imperative), like: "اُكْتُعْبْ" (Write!)


## Explanation:

You have learnt previously that all the الْكَلِمَاتا are limited to three types: فِعْل (verb), (particle), and we explained to you that every word denoting the occurrence of an action in a particular time is called a "فِعْل"".
 (present or future, imperfect) and أَمْر (command, imperative).

The الْمَاضِيْيْ is that which denotes the occurrence of an action in a time that has elapsed
 المْتَخْرَجَ

 (roll, will roll), "يَنْطَلِلِق" (go, will go) and "يَسْتَخْرِعُ" Furthermore, it is necessary for the الَمُصَإِعَا prefixed to it:

- أَلِف [first person singular, e.g. "أَكْتُبُ" (I write or will write)],
- نُوْكَتُتبُ " [first person dual or plural, e.g. (We write or will write)],
- [third person masculine and third person feminine plural, e.g. "يَكْتْتُبُ" " will write\} , "يَكْتُبَانِ"

- $\boldsymbol{\text { Fَا }}$ [second person and third person feminine singular and dual, e.g. "تَكْتْتُبُ" (masc. sing.) or she write(s) or will write\}, "تَكَتْبِيْنَنَ" \{you (fem. sing.) write will write\}, "تَكْتُبَانِ" "تَكْتُبْبْنَ" , (you (fem. pl.) write or will write\}].

The الَأَمْر أُكْتُبْ" [Write! (which is a request for writing)], "دَحْرِبْ" [Roll! (which is a request for rolling s.th.)], "
 extracting)].

## Masculine and Feminine (الْمُذَكَّر وَالْمُوَّنَّثَ)



The الעسْمَ is divided into two categories:

- مُعَكَرِي " (Ali), " (stallion), and
 Explanation:

You already know that:
 and

- the الْفِعْلَ مَاضٍ in turn is of three types: (past), مُصَارِع (present or future) and أَمْر (command).

Know now that the $\mathbf{~ ا ل ْ م ْ م ~ i s ~ o f ~ t w o ~ t y p e s : ~}$




 (female mule), حِمَاَرَة" (female donkey), "هِرَّرَّر" (female cat), etc.
[The الْمُذَكَّرَ can either be مُذَّكَّ :

- in meaning and in form like "جَكَمَلا" "عَلِيّ" ", etc. or
- in meaning only like "حَمْزَة" (Hamzah), "طَلْحَة" (Talhah), etc. or
- in form only, like "كِتَاب" (book), "قَلَّم" " (pen), etc.

Similarly, the الْمُوَّنَّث مُوَّنَّث can either be :

- in meaning and in form like "عَائِشَة" , "عَاقَة" , etc. or,

- in form only, like "حَمْزَز" (Hamzah), "طَلْحَحَة" " (Talhah), etc.]


# The Singular, Dual and Plural (الْمُفْرَد وَالْمُثَنَّى وَالْجَمْع)) 



## Explanation:

 (feminine).

Know now that it is also divided into:

- مُفْرَدر (singular), which is that which denotes a single male or female, like:
"أَاضِل" "مَاضِلَة" "مَا" (a very good man) and very good woman),

- مُثَنَّى (dual), which is that which denotes two males or two females by adding (ie.





- جَمْع (plural), which is that which denotes more than two males or two females by changing its singular form, like:
"فَاضِلُوْنَ", " "فَاضِلِلْيْنَ" (very good men),
"فُضَالًاء" (very good men), or
"فَاضِلاَتا" (very good women).


## The Categories of Plural (أَفْسَام الْجَمْعِ)



The ${ }^{\text {الْْحَمْمْع }}$ is further subdivided into two categories:


 good men) and "فَاضِأَاْتا" (very good women), and when it refers to masculine it is called "جَمْع مُذَكِّر سَالِمٍ" (sound masculine plural),
 feminine plural).

## Explanation:

 now you will learn that the الْحَمْعُع is not merely of one type but of two types:

- جَمْعْع تَكْمْسِيْرٍ (broken plural), which is that whose singular form undergoes a change



- جَـمْع تَصْحِيْتٍ (sound plural), which is that whose singular form remains sound or intact, and comprises two categories:
 case) or "فَاضِيلِيَّنَ" (in the acc. and gen. cases), and "مُجْتَهِدُوْوْنَ" (in the nom. case) or مُحْتَتِهِيْنَ" "
 and
 امُمْم singular form.


# The Composition of Speech (تَأْلْيْف الْكَلَاَمٍ) 



## Explanation:


 communicated (by the speaker) is not achieved by means of one word only due to it being insufficient by itself, instead it is necessary - in order for communication to take place - that there be two or more words so that what we utter conveys a complete and self-contained message.

The sentence (الْحُحْلَلة) which is composed of two or more words such that it conveys the intended and desired sense (to the listener) is called "الْعِلْمُم" " (speech), like:
 It is not required, however, that الْكَالَآَلِلَمَة be composed of all three types (of , الْ it is sufficient that it be composed of two nouns only, like: "عَلِيٌّ مُقْبِل" (Ali is coming or approaching) or a verb and a noun, like: "نَاضَ نَهَرْ" (a river is overflowing).
Furthermore, the الْجُمْلَلَة is called:

- "فِعْلِيَّة" (verbal) if its initial part is a فَعْعَرَ الْمُعَلِّمُم" , like: (The teacher was present) and "يَحْصُرُرُ النَّاضِيُر" (The onlooker or investigator is presentet), and
 teacher is standing) and "اللنَّاضِرُ يُفَتِّشُ" (The investigator is inspecting).
[Note that the occurrence of a حَرْرف (particle) before the الآسْم



## 11

The Indeclinable and Declinable (الْمَبْنِيّ وَالْمُعْرَب)


The الْكَلِمَاتات are divided - when grouped together (in a sentence) - into two categories:

- a category whose ending never changes, and is called "مَبْنِيّ" (indeclinable), and
- a category whose ending changes, and is called "مُعْرَب"" (declinable).


## Explanation:

It has been mentioned to you previously that الْجُمَل الْمُغِيْدَة (meaningful and informative sentences) are composed of individual words which do not fall outside the three


However, these words - when they are grouped together in a جُمْلَة - are not all the same.
Rather:

- of them there is that whose ending exists in one state (and one state only) no matter in what construction it appears, and is called "مَبْبَنِيْنِ" (indeclinable), like: the word "



 how the constructions might change, and
- of them there is that whose ending exists in different modes and states, and is called " "مُعْرَرب" (declinable), like: the word "السَّمَاعَاء" (heaven, sky) in your statement: "حَجَبَتِ السشّحُبُ السَّمَاءَ" (The sky is clear) (The
 ending in the first sentence is vowelled with the الصَّمَّمَّة , in the second with the الْكَسْمرَة الْفَتْحَة


## The Types of Indeclinability (أَنْوَاع الْبِنَاءِ)



That whose ending does not change, either adheres (permanently) to:



- the أَاْنَن" "ألْفَتْحَحَ" (where), or

The method for determining that (ie. for determining which words are indeclinable) is contingent on sound transmission (based on how previous Arabs used it, and there are no particular rules for logically deriving that).


## Explanation:

You already know that the الْكَلِمَاتات - when they are grouped together - their ending either adheres strictly to one state or it changes by changing the construction.

Know now that the modes and states that the endings of words have to adhere to do not

مَبْنِنَيَّةٌ"
 not), "عِنَّ" (from), "عَنْ" (of, about), "فِّه" (in), etc.

مَكَلْنِيَّةٌ " " "ََحْحْنُ" (where), " مُنُُْْمُ

مَبْنِيَّةٌ "
 hopefully, perhaps), " ثُمَّ" (then, thereafter), etc.

مَكَبْنِيَّةٌ"

 "مُجْتَهِدٍ نَصِيْبٌ " (For every diligent person there is a share/portion).
 cannot be determined from some rule, rather the method for determining the particular sign
on which it is مَبْنِيَّة is contingent on how it has been used in reliable and credible books and by expert users and speakers of Arabic.




In response to him, you cannot but say that knowledge thereof is not acquired via rules that are learnt, instead it is acquired via oral transmission and hearsay, and that I have not heard the word "لَلْم" in any of the constructions of eloquent and grammatically sound Arabic speech except that its ending has been unvowelled (with a سُكُوْنَ ), like the statement of the poet:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (I did not break a covenant nor did I breach promises) }
\end{aligned}
$$

 الْالْحَرَكَات (vowel-markings), and because of that I do not pronounce it except as سَاكِنَة (unvowelled with a سُكَوْوْ ).

Likewise for most indeclinable words (الْكَلِلَمَات الْمَبْنِيَّة) there is no way of knowing what sign they are مَبْنِيَّة on except through sound transmission, while at the same time it is not difficult for us know that, because the الْمَلِلِمَات الْمَبْنِيَّة (indeclinable words) in comparison to the الْمُعْرَبَات (declinable words) are very few (in number) and the people's pronunciation thereof is generally correct, due to the fact that their endings are not subject to change. Even with this in mind, we will still mention those most commonly used among these الْكَلِمَات الْمَبْنِيَّة .

## The Classes of Indeclinables (أَصْنَاف الْمَبْنِيَّاتِ)



Belonging to the الْمَبْنِيّ are all the الْحُرُوْف (particles) and like wise the (الأَفْفْعَال (verbs) and some words from the some of which

 "الّْلِّيْ" "الْمَوْصُوْلَّةِ sing.)\} and "الَّتِيْني" \{that which, who (fem. sing.)\}, and some of which is called " "أَسْمَاءِ الإِشَارَةِ " (indicative/demonstrative pronouns), like "هَذَا (masc. sing.)\} and "هَذِذِ" "أَسْمَاء الشََّّرْمِ " (whosoever) and " مَمْمْا" (whatever).

## Explanation:

مُعْرَبَة (indeclinable) nor all مَبْنِيَّة are not all الْكَلِمَات (declinable). Rather, some of them are مَبْنِيّ and some of them are مَعْرَ
 (أَسْمَاء (nouns) and حُرُوْف (particles).




- أَسَا فَرَر زِزيْدٌ! (Did Raid travel?)
- كَتَبْتُ بِعَلِّمِكَكَ

 presence of the sultan, then the emirs)
- الْحَلْلْمُ كَالَنَّوْمِرِ (Knowledge is like light)

- تَسُوْدُوْنَ بِالْعِلْمِ وَالَأَدَبَ
 (if), "بَلْ" (rather, instead), (definitely, maybe, about to), "َّهْ" " (if, had it been that, was it that) and " ${ }^{\circ}$ " (question article, interrogative), like:

- يَسُرُّسِيْ أنْ تَعُوْدَ (It pleases me that you will be returning)
- إِنْ تَرْحَحَمْ تُرْحَمْمْ (If you show mercy, you will be shown mercy to)
- لَمْ يَذْ هَبْ يُوْشُفُ بَلْ إِبْرَاهِيْمُمُ [Yusuf did not go but Ibrahim (went) instead]
- قَدْ شَاهَدْتُّ إلْقِطَاَّار (I definitely saw, the train)
- لَوْ أَنْصَفَ النَّاسُ لاسْتَرَاحَ الْقَاضِيْ (If people were just and fair, then the judge would have been relieved)
- هَلْ جَاءَ الْمِيْعَادُ؟ (Did the appointed time come?)

 of), "لَّْنَتَ" (I wish) and "نَعْمْ" (yes), like:
- ظَلَنْتُهُ غَائِباً إِذَا هُوَ حَاضِرُ (I thought he was absent and then all of a sudden he was present)
- أَلاَ إِنَّ أَسْبَابَ الْغِنَّى لَكَثِيْنِرْ (Alas! Truly, the causes of wealth are many)
- سَوْفَ تَتَرَى (You will see)

 spend it in the way of Good?)

 لَعَلَّ " (I hope, hopefully, hoping, in order), like:
- إِذْ (If you learn you will progress, advance)
- (Everything will perish except His
- Countenancer (The two guards were negligent, as for the first he abandoned the door and as for the second, he slept)
- يَحْضُرُ سَعِيْدٌ إِمَّا غَداً وَإِمَّا بَعْدَ غَدِد (Sa'id will attend either tomorrow or after tomgrrow)
- قَدِمَ الْحُجَّاجُ حَتَّى الْمُشَّاةُ (The pilgrims arrived including the pedestrians/ those who walked)
- كَاَنََّّكَ كَنْنَتَ مَعَعَنَا
- لَعلَّ الْجَجَّ يَعْنَدِلُ (Hopefilly, the weather will become moderate)
(5) خُحْمَاسِيَّة (أَنَّمَا" "إِنَّمَا" " (that) and "لَكِنَّ" (but), like:
- (إِنَّمَا يُوْحَى إِلَيَّ أَنَّمَا إِلَهُكُمْ إِلَهُ وَاحِدٌّ (It was only revealed to me that your Lord is One God)
- يُوْسُفُ غَنِيٌّ لَكِنَّهُ بَخِيْلٌ (Yusuf is wealthy but he is stingy)

As for the الَأَفْعَال (verbs):
 and the second on the لَمْفَّنْ
 Intensification, Strengthening) or نُوْوَ الإِنَاثِ (Nun of the Feminine Plural) is attached (i.e. suffixed) to it.

As for the الَأَسْمَاء , الْعْرَبَبة (declinable) except for a limited number
 called "الَأَسْمَاء الْمَوْصُوْلَة" (relative pronouns), some of which are called " "أَسْمَاء الإِشَاء (indicative/demonstrative pronouns) and some of which are called "
 they are:

| أَلَ | I | إِّآى | Me |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| نِحْنِّ | We | إِّإِّا | Us |
| أِنْنَّ | You (masc. sing.) | إِّاكّ | You (masc. sing.) |
| أُنْتِ | You (fem. sing.) | إِّكّاكِ | You (fem. sing.) |
| أَنْْنُمَا | You (masc. fem. dual) | إِّآكَكْمَا | You (masc. fem. dual) |
| أَنْتُمْهِ | You (masc. pl.) | إِّاكِّاكِّا | You (masc. pl.) |
| أْنْتُنَّ | You (fem. pl.) | إِّاكِّنَّ | You (fem. pl.) |
| \%8\% | He |  | Him |
| هِيَ | She | إِّاكِّا | Her |
| \%'80 | They (masc. fem. dual) |  | Them (masc. fem. dual) |
| هُمْ | They (masc. pl.) | إِّإِّا | Them (masc. pl.) |
| هُنَّ | They (fem. pl.) | إِّكّأِّنِّ | Them (fem. pl.) |

These are called "الضَّمَائِر الْمُنْفَصِلَّة" (Detached Personal Pronouns)
That which is attached (i.e. suffixed) to the الْفِعْلً (only) occurs in for example:

| كَتَبْتُ | I wrote |
| :---: | :---: |
| كَتَبْنَا | We wrote |
| كَتَبْتْبَ | You (masc. sing.) wrote |
| كَتَبْبِتِ | You (fem. sing.) wrote |
| كَتَبْتُمَا | You (masc. fem. dual) wrote |
| كَتَبْبِّكْمْ | You (masc. pl.) wrote |
| كَتَبْتِنَّ | You (fem. pl.) wrote |
| كَتَّبَ (\%وَ) | He wrote |
| كَتَبَتْ (هِبَ) | She wrote |
| كَتَباً | They (masc. dual) wrote |
| كَتَبَبَا | They (fem. dual) wrote |
| كَتَبُوْوْا | They (masc. pl.) wrote |
| كَتَبْنَ | They (fem. pl.) wrote |

 example:

| عَلِّمَنِيْيْ كَتَابِيْن | My book taught me |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Our book taught us |
|  | Your book taught you (masc. sing.) |
| عَلْمَلِكِ كِكَتَابُكْكِ | Your book taught you (fem. sing.) |
| كَتَاُلْمُكُمْمَا | Your book taught you (masc. fem. dual) |
| كَعَاَلِّمَكُكُمْمْ | Your book taught you (masc. pl.) |
| كَتَابَّمَكُكُنِّنِّ | Your book taught you (fem. pl.) |
| عَلَّلَمُهُ كِّتَابُهُهُ | His book taught him |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { عِحَتَابِّمَهَهَا } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Her book taught her |
| عَلِّمَهُهِمَا كَتَاِبُعُهُمَا | Their book taught them (masc. fem. dual) |
| كَعَيَابَلِّهُهُمْمْ | Their book taught them (masc. pl.) |
| كَعَابِّمُهُهُنِّنَّ | Their book taught them (fem. pl.) |

These are called "الصَّمَائِر الْمُنَّصِلَّة" (Attached Personal Pronouns).

As for the الَأَسْمَاء الْمَوْصُوْلَّةِ (relative pronouns), among them are:

| الِّذِيْ | the one who / that which (masc.) |
| :---: | :---: |
| الِّلِّيْنِ | the one who / that which (fem.) |
| اللّإِّانِّ | the ones who / which (masc. dual) |
| اللِّلّْانِ | the ones who / which (fem. dual) |
| الِّلِّيْنِّنِّ | the ones who (masc. pl.) |
| اللالِّنِيْنِ | the ones who (fem. pl.) |

As for the أَسْمَاء الـشَّرْ طِمِ , among them are:

| مَ | Whosoever ........then ........ |
| :---: | :---: |
| مَ | Whatever . . . . . .then . . . . . . |
| 'مَهْمَا | Whatever . . . . . .then . . . . . . |
| مَتَّى | Whenever . .......then ........ |
| آلِّانِّ | Whenever . . . . . .then ........ |
| أِّنْنَّ | Wherever . . . . . .then . . . . . . |
| أَّنّى | Wherever . . . . . .then . . . . . . |
| حَحْثُثمَا | Wherever . . . . . .then . . . . . . |
| كَْْفَمَا | However ........then ........ |
| أيّ | Whichever .......then ........ |

The Types of Declinability / Declension (أَنْوَاع الإِعْرَابِ)


That whose ending changes,
 and the السُّكُوْنُ السُو , and
 الْالْعَتْحْرَة and the الْمَة
The change:

- by means of the رَفْع" الضَّمَّمَّة is called

- by means of the "ُحَرّ" (is called) and

 be the "عَلاَمَات الإِعْرَابِ الأَصْلِيَّة" (primary signs of declinability).


## Explanation:

It has become clear to us that the words whose endings change by changing the constructions (in which they appear) are from the classes of الاسْمر and and not from the الْحَرْف class of

It remains for us to know the modes or states in which this change exists. Know that they (ie.
 السشُّكُوْنَ
 indicative mood for verbs),

- by means of the "نَصْبِ" (is called) "لْغَتْحَحَ (is. accusative case for nouns and subjunctive mood for verbs),



Hence, it is said that the types of declinability
 said to be the "عَعَلاَمَات الإعْرَابِ الأَصْلِيَّة" (primary signs of declinability).
 does not apply to الَأَسْمَاء ا.
[Furthermore:

- الرَّفْع is called the nominative case when applied to nouns and the indicative mood when applied to verbs,
- اللَّصْنَبِ is called the accusative case when applied to nouns and the subjunctive mood when applied to verbs,
- الْجَرّ is called the genitive case and applies to nouns only and
- الْجَزْمُ is called the jussive mood and applies to verbs only.]


##  (وَالْجَمْعِعَّالِمِ






The جَمْعُ الْمُذَكَّرِ السَّالِمْمِ (sound masculine plural) is:




 [ الْكَسْرَرَ with the
 "عَلَالَمَات فَرْعِيَّة " (secondary signs).

## Explanation:

You already know that:




Moreover, there exist also عَلاَمَات فَرْ عِيَّة (secondary signs)which take the place of these (primary) signs in certain types of the الْكَلِمَاتات as will be mentioned.

Thus:
(1) the الْمُثَنَّيُمْ

- الَأَلِف like: "حَضَرَر هُنَا رَجُلالَنِمِ" (two men were present here)


 "'نَظَّرْتُ إِلَى الِرَّجُلَيْنِ
(2) the جَمْعع الْمُذَكَّرِ السَّالِمْمِ is:
 like: "خَرَجَ الْمُهَنْنِسُوْنَوْ" (The engineers left / went out)


(i.e. نِيَابَةً عَنِ الْفَتْحَةِ "وَدَّعْتُ الْمُهَنْدِسِيْنَ" (I bid the engineers farewell) and

 |لْفَتْحَة

غَرَسْتُ" like ( نِيَابَةً عَنْ الْفَتْحَةِ

 trees are ripe) and "حِئْتُ بِشَجَرَاتٍ أَخْرَىع" (I brought other trees).

## Declining the Weak Verb (إِعْرَاب الْفِعْلِ الْمُعْتَلِّ)


 مَجْزُوْمُ with the dropping of it (ie. the weak ending) in place of the اللسُّكُوْوْ , like: " "لَلَمْ يَرْمِ " لَلَمْ يَدْعُ" " (did not call) and (did not throw/cast).

 assumed in the mind of the Arabic user) on the لألِدر .
As for الرَّفْع المُقَدَّر it is implied (ie. on all (three weak letters).

## Explanation:

When the ending (ie. final letter) of the الْفِعْل الْمُضَارِع is:
 (strive/will strive) and "يَلْقَى" (meet/will meet), or
 play) or
 يَمْشِيْ" (walk/will walk),
then, it is called "مُعْتَلَّلم" الآخِحِ" (the weak ending verb).
 (which is the standard declension) but rather by means of dropping of its (weak) ending in



 (did not throw/cast), "لَمْ يَعْصِ" "لَمْ يَمْشِ" "لَهِ" (did not disobey) and (did not walk).


(يَلْهُ" "




- the مُقَدَّرَة implicit ie. implied and assumed to exist in the mind of the Arabic user only) in the case of the تَعَذَّر تَحْرِيْكِهَا لَأَلِّفا (ie. the impossibility of vowelling it, that is, vowelling the ضَآَأَلِّهُ (explicit
 with which the لْ لَفَتْحَحَة الْمَة is pronounced on these two letters), and
 impossibility of vowelling the الَألِّف () as well as in the case of the الْوَاِّلِ and the
 two letters).

 (declension) known as الإِعْرَابَ الْمُعَعَّرَّر (implied or hypothetical declension) and is



## إِعْرَاب الَأَمْثِلَةِ) Declining the Five Forms / Patterns (الْخَمْسَةِ

##   


 الْمُخَأَطَبَةِ

- النُّوْن (ie. مَرْفُوْع with the attachment of the in place of the الضَّوَّمَّة and




## Explanation:

When the الْمُضَارِع is predicated of:
 men write/will write) and "أَنْتُمَا تَكْتْبَانِانِ" (You two write/will write), or
 "يَكْتْبُوْنَ " أَنْتُمْ تَكْتُبُوْنَ" (the men are write/will write) and (You write/will write), or
 "تَكْتْبِيْنَنَ
 like that you have seen, and it is مَرْوَ مَجْزُوْمْ and by means of its dropping (i.e. ( بِحَذْفِ النُّوْنِ , like:

 forms / patterns).
 الْفَرْعِيَّة (secondary signs).

## The Importance of Distinguishing (Different) Constructions (أَهَمِّيَّة تَمْيِيْزِ الـَّزَاكِيْبِ)



For each type of these changes there are places, should it occur in any other than these (places) it will be counted as an error. Hence, it is necessary for us - in order that we be free from error and our pronunciation (ie. speech) be correct - to know
 , and in which construction the مَمَرْمُوْ فُوْعْع

## Explanation:

We hear from the (Arab) people the word "عَلِلِي"" - for example - sometimes مَمْرْفُوْع , sometimes مَمَنْصُوْوْ and sometimes مَجْرُوْوْ , such that they say (for example):
 second and مَجْرُوْر in the third binding and obligatory on the one who wants his speech to be correct? The answer is "yes".

Anyone who utters something other than that has indeed erred, and his speech is contrary to the language of the Arabs, the language of the Noble Qur'an, the (Prophetic) Traditions, authentic books and the speech of the eloquent.
 in specific places, مَنْصُوْبِ in specific places, and likewise is the case when it is مَمْجْزُوْمُ and . For that there are rules and principles such that when a person knows them he will be free from error and his speech will conform to the language of the Qur'an.

 : الْفِعْل it is for us to know (then) in which construction is the الْجَرّ


- مَجْزُوْم ,

- مَرْفُوْوْ or
- or
- مَجْرُوْرُ
and so on until we reach our intended goal.


## (مَنْصُوْب (نَصْب الْفِعْلِ Making the Verb

## 

As for the مَنْصُوْب الْفِعْل , it is when one of these particles comes before
it: "أَنْ" (to, that)

- لَلْْن" "الَنْ" (will not, will never)
- "إِذا" "إِّ" (in that case, thus, hence)
- "كَيْ" " [(in order) to, that]


## Explanation:

If to be free from error in speech is contingent on us knowing in which construction the مَمَنْصُوْبِ , or مَجْزُوْوْمُ is الْفِعْلِ مَمْحْرُوْر or , then it is necessary for us to know the rules that will lead us to realise that aim.

Thus, the مَنْصُوْب in in four places, لْفِعْلُ in in مَرْفُوْع in other than the aforementioned.

It is $م َ$ مَنْصُوْبُ in every sentence (جُلَة) in which it occurs after one of the following words:





and likewise you extend the rule to other similar cases.

## (مَجْزُوْم (



It (ie. the لْمِعْعْلُوْمُم ) is when one of these words comes before it:

- "لَمْم" (did not)
- "لَحَّمَا" (did not yet)
- اللاَّم الَآَم الَأَمْرِ (the of command meaning "let")

- "إِنْ" (If
- "إِذْ "مَاْ " (If

If ....., ......)

- "مَنّْ" (Whosoever, anyone who ....., ......)
- "مَا" (Whatever $\qquad$
- "مَهْمَا" (Whatever $\qquad$
- "مَتَتَى" (Whenever ....., ......)
- "أَبَّانَ" "أَّكَ" (Whenever $\qquad$
 $\qquad$
- "أنَّحى" (Wherever $\qquad$
- "حَيْثُمَمَا" "(Wherever $\qquad$
- "كَيْفَعِمَا" "
- أَيّ" "أَيّ" (Whichever $\qquad$


## Explanation:

We know the four places in which the مَنْصُوْب is . لْفِعْل . It remains for us to know the sixteen places in which it is مَجْزُوْمْ .

It is مَجْزُوْمْمْلَة in every (sentence) in which it occurs after one of the aforementioned words. These words are divided into two groups:
(1) a group after which one فَفْعل is made مَجْزُوْم :

- لَّمْ" (did not), like: " covenant nor did I breach promises)
 [Ourgarden did not produce friut yet while the (other) gardens produced frwit]
 "حَدَّةُ
 "اللهِ" (Do not despair concerning Allah’s Mercy)

 الشَّرْمِمِ " (reply to or result of the condition), and it comprises:

 progress),
- مَنْ" (Whosoever, anyone who ....., ......), like: "مَنْ يَبْحَثْ يَجِد" (Whosoever searches, will find),
- مَا تُحَصِّلْ فِي الصِّغَرِ يَنْغَعْكَ فِي" "Whatever ....., .....), like "الْكِبَر " (Whatever you obtain in childhood, will benefit you in adulthood),
 (Whatever you conceal the days will reveal),
- "مَتَىع" " (Whenever ....., .....), like: "مَتَى يَصْلُحْ قَلْبُكَ تَصْلُحْ حَوَارِحُكَ (Whenever your heart is sound your limbs are sound),
 "سِيْبِرَتُكَ (When your heart is good your behaviour becomes praiseworthy),
 you venture, you will find your sustenance),
 (Wherever the possessor of wealth goes, he will find friends),
 "َنَجَاحًا" (Wherever you are upright, Allah will decree success for you),
 you are, so will your friend be) and
- أَئٌ إِنْسانٍ يَحْتَرِمْهُ السَّئِيْسُ يَحْتَرِمْهُ" (Whichever ....., ......) "أَيْ" "الْمَرْؤُوْسُ
and likewise you extend the rule to other similar cases. Moreover, "أָׁَّ" after it are called "أَدَوَات شَمْرِا" (Conditional Instruments).
(مَمْفُوْع (رَفْع الْفِعْلِ Making the Verb


## وَيُرْفَعُ إِذَا تَجَرَّدَ مِنْ جَمِيْعِ ذَلِكَ

It (ie. the مَرْمُفْوع الْفِعْل when it is stripped of that (i.e. the


## Explanation:

There is no difficulty in us knowing the places in which the مَرْمُفْوع is after knowing the places in which it is مَمَبْزُوْوْمُ and
Every فِعْل مُضَارِع that does not occur after one of the previous four words or after

 fruit), "يَلْزَمُ الإِنْسَانُ حَدَّهُ" (A man adheres or sticks to his limit), etc.

Up to this point we have completed our knowledge of the places in which the مَاْمُعْلْ is , so we do not have to fear any error entering (into our speech) coming from that direction (at least). However, it is now on us to strive to know:

- the places in which the مَرْفُوْع ,
- the places in which it is مَنْصُوْبِ and
- the places in which it is مَجْرُوْوْ ,
in order that we might be free from error as regards the الْكَلِمَات الْمُعْرَبَبَة (declinable words).


## (مَرْفُفْعُع (رَفْع الاسْمِ Making the Noun

## وَأَمَّا الاسْمُ فَيُرْفَعُ فِيْ سِنَّةِ مَوَاضِعَ.

As for the مَرْفُوْع it in six places.

## Explanation:

Knowing what has gone before, there does not remain anything thereafter except to know in

 six places, مَنْصُوْوب in eleven places and مَجْرُوْر in two places. What follows is the explanation of the six places of الرَّفْوْ :

# The Doer / Subject of the Verbal Sentence (الْفَاعِل) 

## 

The first (place of الرَّفْع ) in _يَطْلُُبُ الْلْعَاقِلُ" Muhammad memorised the book) and "الْكَتَامبَ "الْمِلْمَ called "فَاعِلَا" (doer/subject of verbal sentence).

## Explanation:

When you see someone called "مَحْمُوْد", , for example, cutting (يَقْحَّعُ) a branch ( قَطَعَعْ :
 the occurrence of the act of cutting is called a "فَعْع" "فَعْ" as was explained before, and the word "مَحْمُوْوْد" which indicates the one who performed and carried out the act of cutting is called a "فَاعِعَل" (doer/subject of a verbal sentence), and it is necessary for it to be
 occurred is called a "مَفْعُعُوْلِ" بِهِ" (direct object) and more will be said about that (ie. the later. la الْمَفْعُوْلِ بِهِ

Similar to the word "مَحْمُوْد" " in this example are:

- the word "مُحَمَّد" (Muhammad) in "حَفِظَاَ مُحَمَّدُ الْكِتَابَ" (Muhammad memorised the book),
- "يَطْلُعبُ الْعَعاقِلُ الْعِلْمَ" (intelligent person) in (The intelligent person seeks knowledge),
- "إلهِ" (Allah) in "

 people) and
 traitor),
and likewise is the case of every word occurring after the الْفِعْل) and denotes the one doing the action.


## The Agent or Deputy of the Doer (نَائِب الْفَاعِلِ)

 (The book was memorised) and "يُطْلَبُ الْعِلْمُ (Knowledge is sought or acquired), and the نَائِب فَاعِلٍ" الاسْم" (Agent/Deputy of the doer)

## Explanation:

If someone steals your watch and you know his identity and you want to inform him about that, you say: "سَرَقَ فُلاَنْ السَّاعَةَ" [So-and-so (like Raid, for example) has stolen the watch]. However, if you do not know his identity or you know his identity but you do not want to mention his name, you say: "مُمرِقَتِ السَّاعَهُة" (The watch has been stolen). Thus, you drop the الْفَاعِل and you put in its place the word denoting that onto which

 is called the "نَائِعب الْفَاعِلِ" (agent/deputy of the doer).
The form and structure of the لْفِعْلَ is subsequently changed when used with it (ie. with the نَائِب الْغَاعِلِ ( ). Thus:

- if it is مَاصٍ (past tense verb), its initial letter is vowelled with a ضَمَمَّة كَمَ and the second last letter is vowelled with a كَسْْرَرَ
 فَ فَتْحَحَّة and the second last letter is vowelled with a .

Furthermore, similar to the word "السَّاعَعَّ" in this example are:






Likewise is the case with every word that is preceded by a فِعْل after having changed its form and structure and denotes that onto which the action occurs.

It becomes clear to us from the previous examples that the constructions of the first place (ie.
 الْالْفَاعِاعِلِ عِلِ
 already.

# The Subject (of the Nominal Sentence) and Predicate(Iَمُْبْتَدَأ) (وَالْخَبَرِ 

## 

The third and fourth (places of الرَّفْفْ ) are every construction, like: " "الاسْم is called " "خَبَر" "مُبْتَدَا " (Predicate).

## Explanation:

The complete sentence is either (a) composed of a فِعْلㅁ اسْم and and the latter is either the الْفَاعِلَ or the نَائبَ الْفَاعِلِ (and these two places have already been
 first is called "مُبْبَر" "مْتَدَأ" (subject) and the second (predicate), and it is necessary that both these be مَرْفُوْعِع . This can be illustrated as follows:


- "الِشَّحَعُ مُوْرِقِقٌ" (The trees are growing leaves),

- "الْلَحِوُّ مُعْتَتِدِلُ " (The weather is moderate),
- as well as whatever resembles these examples as regards all sentences composed of two اسْمَانِ (i.e. an اسْم followed by another), such that the one is commenced with and at the same time also predicated of (i.e. given information of) by the other.


## The Noun of "كَانَ" (اسْم "كَانَ")



The fifth (place of الرَّفَعْعَ "مُثْمِراً" (The garden was bearing fruit) and the first الَأَعْر| is called "


- "صَارَ" (became)
- "أَأَصْبَحَ") (became / became in the morning)
- "أَضْحَحبح" "أَاْيَ" (became / became during the forenoon)
- "ظَّلَ" (remained, continued)
- "أَمْسَى" (became / became in the late afternoon or evening)


- "مَا دَامام" (was for as long as)
- "لَيْسَ" " (is not)


## Explanation:


 " آَخَبَر" (predicate) of " Moreover it is necessary that the first be مَرْمْفْوْ

Thus, you say concerning the aforementioned examples (mentioned in the previous lesson):

- "كَانَ الْبُسْسَتَانُ مُثْمْمِراً" (The garden was bearing fruit)


- "كَانَ الْلْحَوُّ مُعْتَدِدِلاً" (The weather was moderate)
- and likewise you extend the rule to other similar cases.
 "صَارَ" (became) and all the الأَفْعَار" (verbs) mentioned after it, like:

- "أَصْبَتَ الِشَّحَرُ مُوْرِقِا" (The trees became bearers of leaves in the morning)

- and so on and so forth.


# The Predicate of" 



The sixth (place of الِرَّفْع إِنَّ الْبُسْتَانَ" ) is every construction like



- "أَأَنَّ
- "كَأَنَّ" (as if)
- "لَكِنَّ" " (but)
- "لَيْتَنَّ" "لَيْت" (I wish, wishing)
- لَعَلَّ" " (I hope, hoping)
- " ${ }^{\mathbf{y}}$ " ("There is no ..." in the absolute and total sense of denial and negation).


## Explanation:

 الْمُمْبْتَدَأ Know now that when "إِنَّ" إلْمُبْتَدَأ الْ الْبَر

 for the same previously mentioned examples:

 those الْحُرُرْوْفو (particles) mentioned after it, like:

and likewise you extend the rule to other similar cases.
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## (مَنْصُوْب (نَصْب الاسْمِ Making the Noun

## وَالْمَنْصُوْبَاتُ مِنَ الأَسْمَاءِ أَحَدَ عَشَرَ.

The categories of the الْ لْمَنْصُوْبِ among the nouns are eleven.

## Explanation:

 remains for us to know the categories of the الْمَنْصُصُوْب among them, and they are eleven in number.

## The Direct Object (الْمَفْعُوْل بِهِه)

## 



Explanation:
Every action that occurs in the world has to have a فَاعِلَ (doer / agent) doing and executing it, and sometimes this action is transferred and made to occur on something-else.

The word denoting the one from whom the action occurs is called "فَاعِعل" (doer / agent) and it is necessary that it be مَ-فرْفُوْع as was mentioned previously.

The word denoting that onto which the action is transferred and made to occur is called " "مَفْعُوْل بِهِهِ" (مَنْصُوْب" " (direct object), and it is necessary that it be Therefore, when

 occurs on it (ie. "الْغُصْنَ" $ا$ "

Similar to "الْغُصْنَ" in this example are:







الْفَاعِل denoting that onto which the action of the اسْم Such is the case with every occurs and on account of which the form of the لْفِعْل remains unchanged. As for when the form of the الْفِعْل is changed in the process, then the الاعِعْ (denoting that onto which the action of the الْفَاعِلَ occurs) is a نَائِب فَاعِلٍ instead and it is necessary for it to be مَرْفُوْعَ as was discussed previously.

## The Absolute / Unqualified Object (الْمَفْعُوْلَ الْمُطلْلَقَ)



## Explanation:

When you say: "قَتَلَ الْحَارِسُ اللَّصَّ" (The guard killed the thief), the listener might take the act of killing (as mentioned by the speaker) to be an exaggeration and actually understand that what is meant (by the action "قَتَلَ" ) is "beating him up" and not actually "killing him". To prevent this erroneous understanding you add (for the purpose of adding emphasis and definiteness) the word "قَتْالًا" to the previous sentence, such that you say:

 the word " قَتْْعلًا" (in function and semantic effect) are:

- "حَفِظَا مُحَمَّدُ الْكِتَابَ حِفْضاً" " حِفْضاً" (Muhammad really memorised the book),
 the people),
 in a praiseworthy fashion),
- as well as whatever resembles these examples as regards every اسْمْ الْمٍ denoting the very action that the الْفَاعِلَ is performing (in the real and not metaphorical sense).


## The Object of Reason (الْمَفْعُوْل لَأَجْلِهِ)

##  

The third (category of in (الْمَنْصُوْوْةً" in
 memorised the book out of a desire to advance and progress) and is called " مَفْعُعْهُ لأَأَحْله" (Object of Reason).

## Explanation:

Every فِعْل has to have a reason (or motive) on account of which that action is performed. Thus, when we say: "وَقَفَ الْحُعْنُ" (The soldiers stood), the listener understands that the soldiers stood but he does not know the reason for (or the motive behind) their standing. Should the intention be to inform him about the reason or motive as well, we say:
توَ


 memorised the book out of a desire to advance and progress),

- "حَجَّ النَّاسُ طَلَبَاً لِمَرْضَامِ اللهِ" in "طَلَّباً" "The people perform the pilgrimage seeking to please Allah),
 honour of the newcomer or visitor),
- as well as whatever resembles these examples as regards every سْم ا الْ |that is mentioned in the sentence to clarify the reason or cause for the occurrence of the action.


## The Adverb (الْمَفْعُوْل فِينْهِ)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { أَوْ "ظَلًّرْفاً". }
\end{aligned}
$$

 "حَفِظْا مُحَمَّدٌ الْكِتَامَ صَبَآَاًِ أَمَامَ الْمُعَلِّمِ" (Muhammad
memorised the book in the morning in front of the teacher) and is called "


## Explanation:

Every فِعْلَ has to occur in a time (زَمَانَانَانَانَ) and a place . Thus, when you say: "حَفِظَا مُحَمَّدٌ الْكِتَابَ صَبَاًاً" (Muhammad memorised the book in the
 and when you say: "حَغِضا مُحَمَّدٌ الْكِتَابَ أَمَامَمَ الْمُعَلِّمِ" (Muhammad memorised the book in front of the teacher), you are clarifying the place of memorising which is the area in front of the teacher.

 "فِيْهِه" and it is necessary that they be مَنْصُوْوِ فَ
Similar to "صَبَاحا" is:

- "مَسَاءًا" (late afternoon / evening),
- "يَوْمَاً" " (during the day, one day),
- "لَْْلَمِّة" (at night),
- "بُكْرَةٍ" (early morning),
- "غَدراً" (tomorrow),
- "ضَحْوَةٍ" (forenoon, late morning),
- "سَحَرِاً" (early morning / pre-dawn / before day-break ie. the last part of the night before dawn),
- " "أَبَدا" (for ever),
- "حِبْنْاً" " (for a time / while / indefinite period),
- "وَقْتْتَاً" (for a time),
- "لَحْظَالَةً" (a moment),
- "سَاعَةً" "لحَّة" (an hour),
- "مُدَّةً" (a period of time),
- "سَنَنًً" (a year) and
- "شَهْراً" "شمْاً" (a month),
and like "أَمَامَمَ"
- "قُمَّاَامَ"
- "خَلْفَ" (behind),
- "وَرَاء" (behind),
- "فَوْرُقَ" (above / on top of),
- "تَحْتَّ" (under / below / beneath),
- "يَمِمْيْناً" " (right / rightwards),
- "
- "عِنْدَدَ" "at, by / with),
- "مَعَ" (with / together with / in the company of),
- "إِّإِّا"
- "حَذَاءَ" (near / close to),
- "تِلْقَاءَاءِ" (opposite to),
- "بَرِِمْداً" "
- "فَرْسَرِخاً" (a parasang or twelve thousand steps) and
- "مِيْلاً" (a mile or four thousand steps)


## The Object of Accompaniment (الْمَفْعُوْل مَعَهُ)



## Explanation:

 travelled with the mountain (i.e. alongside the mountain) until I reached the end of the highland], then the meaning thereof is that he took the side of the mountain (as it were) as a way or path alongside which he travelled until he reached his intended destination.

Likewise, when you ask someone for a place that you wish to reach and he says to you: "
 "Make the act of you going (to that place) next to or alongside the new road; Do not deviate from it, neither to the right nor to the left, and you will reach the intended place".

 which is before it is called "وَعَواو |لْمَعِيَّةِ" (the Waw of Accompaniment).

Similar to these two examples are:

- "حَفِطَ مُحَمَّدُ وَالْمِصْبَاًَ" in "الْمِصْبَاَح" (Muhammad memorised with the lamp), 。



Such is the case with every performed.

الْمَفْعُوْل From what has gone before it becomes clear that the الْمَغَاعِيْلَ (plural of ) are five in number, and they are:

- اللَمَفُعُوْلهِبِهِ (direct object),
- the الْمَفْعُوْوِ الْمُمُطْلَلَقْ (absolute or unqualified object),
- the الْمَفْعُعُوْلَ لَأَجْلِحِّهِ (object of reason),
- the الْمَفْعُوْوْلِ فِمْعْهِ (adverb) and
- the الْمَفْعُوْلِ مَعَهُعْ (object of accompaniment).



## 

 "حَفِظَ مُحَمَّدٌ الْكِتَابَ إِلَّ وَرَقَةًّ" (Muhammad memorised the book


## Explanation:

It is not proper for you to say: "خَرَحَ النَّالَاْمِيُْ مِنَ الْمَدْرَسَةِ" (The students came out of the school) and then to keep quiet except if it is that all of them had come out.
However, if one or more of them remained, then it is necessary for you to say:
 school excluding / except Khalid) for example, or "... or "...


Similar to "خَالِداً" in the first example are:

- "حَفِظَ مُحَمَّدٌ الْكِتَابَ إِلَّ وَرَقَةً" (Muhammad memorised the book except / excluding one page) and
 excluding gold).
Such is the case with every أْمْ ll occurring after the word " negation.


## The State or Condition (الْحَال)

## 


 sitting) or "حَفِظَلَهُ صَحْنْحاً" (He memorised it while it is correct) and is called "گَالْ" (State or Condition).

## Explanation:

When you say: "شَرِبَ أَمِيْنٌ الْمَاءَ" (Amin drank the water), the sentence is correct except that it is not possible to know from the sentence the state or condition in which the الْفَاعِلِ was at the time of the action or likewise the state or condition in which the الْمَفْعُوْل بِهِ
However, when you say: "شَشَرِبَ أَمِيْنُ الْمَاءَ قَائِماً" (Amin drank the water standing), you have in fact clarified the state or condition in which Amin was at the time of drinking, and when you say: "شَرِبَ أَمِيْنِ الْْمَاءَ رَائِقاً" (Muhammad drank the water in pure form), you have in fact also clarified the state or condition of the water at the time of drinking. Thus, the word " رَائِقاً" "قَائِماً" is called a "حَال" " (state or condition) and it is necessary for it to be مَنْصُوْبِ "

Similar to these two examples are:
 memorised the book sitting) or "حَفِظَهُ صَحْنْحِ" (He memorised it while it is its correct form),
 "الْ لْمَاءَ مُتَنَنَفِّسِاً" (Let not any of you drink the water whilst breathing or inhaling) or

الْفَاعِل or الْمَفْعُوْل| at the time the action occurs.

## The Specification (التَّمْيِيْنز)

## 


 (Specification).

## Explanation:


 and then keep quiet, then the listener will not understand exactly what it is that is meant by (الْحِنْطَار (kantar) such that he will not know whether you bought a kantar of beans or

 "تَمْـِيْيْز" (specification) and is is called "بُناً

Among the constructions of التَّمْبِيْنِ are:

## 

" [The merchant sold an ardeb of wheat, a kantar of sugar and a hundred cubits (or arm-lengths) of silk]

- "إْشْتَرَيْتُ صَاعاً شَعْنِراً، وَرِطْلاً عَسَلاً، وَذِرَاعاً صُوْفِاًِ "I bought a sea of barley, a rat of honey and a cubit of wool)

Such is the case with every construction containing an 1 clarifying and specifying exactly what is meant by another (the latter being) capable of meaning many things.

## The Object of Address / Addressee / Vocative (الْْمُنَادَى)

##  بِالْعِبَادِ" وَ"يَا تَسُوْلِ اللهِّ"، وَيُسَمَّمُ "مُنَادىً"

 "َرَؤُوْفَاً بِالْعِبَادِ (O You who are compassionate towards the servants) and
 (Object of Address, Addressee, Vocative).

## Explanation:

When we call someone by his name or title / epithet, and we say: "يَا عَبْدَ الرَّحْمَانِانِ"


 "مُنَادىً" (Object of Address, Addressee or Vocative), and it is مَنْصُوْعِ "

Similar to these examples are:

 him),

- يَا
- "يَا أَكْرَعَ الْحَلْقِ" (O Most Honourable of Creation)
 addressing).


## The Predicate of "كَانَ" (خَبَر "كَانَ")

##  وَيُسَسَّى (َحَبَر"َكَانَ").

The tenth (category of $\underset{=1}{\boldsymbol{E}}$



## Explanation:





 fifth place (ie. the section dealing with the which the مَرْفُوْع الاسْم .

Among the examples that have already been given thereof (but with the focus this time on the الْخَبَبر of one of these verbs) are:


"صَارَ الْبُسْتَانُ مُنْمِراً"

- and so on and so forth.


# The Noun of " 

## 


"اسْم "إِنَّ The garden was bearing fruit), and is called "الْبُسْسْتَانَ مُثْمِرٌ (Noun of "íl")

## Explanation:





 sixth place (i.e. the section dealing with the which the مَرْفُوْع الاسْم .

Among the examples that have already been given thereof (but with the focus this time on the الУ الْمْ

- عَلِلِمْتُ أَأَنَّ الْبُسِّتَانَ مُثْمِمٌ " (I knew that the garden was bearing fruit),


- لَيْتَ الْحَوَّ مُعْتَدِلْ" (I wish that the weather was moderate)
- and in like fashion you extend the rule to other similar cases.


## (مَجْرُوْر ( اكَرّ الاسْمِ Making the Noun

## وَيُجَرُّ الاسْمُ فِيْ مَوْضِعَيْنِ.

The مَجْرُوْر is الاسْم in two places.

## الْمَجْرُوْر) الْمَجْرُوْر by means of the Particle

 (بِالْحَرْفِ



 (particle) from among these الْحُرُوْفر (particles):

- مِنْ" (from, of),
- "إِلًّ" "إِنْ" (to, towards),
- "عَنْ" " (about, of),
- عَلَلعَ" (on),
- "فِيْ"" (in),
- "رُّقّ" (seldom, rarely, maybe, perhaps),
- الّْباء (with, by, by means),
- الْكَافَ (like, similar to),
- اللّاّم (for, to, belonging to),
- وَاو الْقَقَسَمِ (the Waw of Oath) and
- تَاءاء الْقَسَمِم (the Ta' of Oath)

"مَوْْمٍ (Mahmud travelled from Cairo to Alexandria in a day).
These particles are called "حُرُوْفِ الْحَرِّ" (Particles of الْجَرِّ / Genitive Particles / Prepositions).


## Explanation:

It has been mentioned to you before that the مَرْفُوْع |لاسْمُ in in six places and that it is مَنْصُوْبٌ in eleven places. As regards it being مَجْرُوْر it occurs in two places. The
 (particles) called "حُرُوْفِ الْحَرِّ" (particles of الْحَرِّ / genitive particles / prepositions), and they are:
 from Cairo) and "نَزَلَ الْمَطِّرُ مِنَ السَّمَمَاءِ" (The rain descended or fell from the sky),
 traveller arrived at Alexandria, (literally: arrived to Alexandria)] and "سَارَ إِلَّى "الْتَحْحْ
-"عَنِ الْمَرْءِ لاَ تَسْأَلْ، وَسَلْ عَنْ قَرِيْنِهِهِ" (about, of), like: (About the person do not ask, instead ask about his companion),
 "الَِّّاجِ" (Generosity towards the one in need is better than pearls on a crown)

- تَعَرَّفْ إِلَى اللهِ فِي اللَّخَاءِ يَعْرِفْكَ فِيْ" "فِّيْ"ِ" (in), like
"الشَِّّدَّة " (Know Allah in prosperity and He will know you in difficulty),
 "مِنْ مَقَالٍ (Perhaps a person's condition is more eloquent than his speech) and (Sometimes a friend is better than a brother),
 "بِالسَّنْـْف
 (Knowledge is like light and ignorance is like darkness),
 (Excellence belongs to the one who progresses and advances but Grandeur belongs to Allah),
 not do anything) and [ وَالْعَصْرِ، إِنَّ الإِنْسَانَ لَفِيْ خُسْرٍ (By Time! Man is in a state of Loss) and

 Allah! Falsehood will not be supreme).


# The Noun Annexed to (الْمُضَاف إِلَّهِهِ) 

##  <br> 

 to it, like: "خَادِم الَأَمِنـِي"" (the servant of the emir) and "سُوْرِ الْمَدِمْنَةِ" (the wall of the city), and is called "مُصَا فو إِلَيْهِ " (noun to which the previous
 annexed to the الْمُصَافَ إِلَيْهِ ()

## Explanation:

When we hear a person saying: "حَضَرَر الْلْوْمْمَ خَادِمٌ" (A servant was present / came today), we do not know which servant he means: whether it is the servant of the emir or the servant of the judge or the servant of any other person, because he did not relate or link him
 was present / came today), we know which servant he means because of the servant being related and linked to the emir in no uncertain terms. The word "خَادِم" is called "
 Similar to "خَادِم الَأَمِيْرِ" are:

- سُوْر الْمَدِيْنَةِ" (the wall of the city),
- "بَابَ اللِبْنْتِ" (the door of the house),
- عِنَان الْفَرَسِ" " (the reins of the horse),
- as well as whatever resembles these examples as regards every اسْمَانْا الْ (two nouns), the first of which is related and linked to the second.
Furthermore, the الْمُصَافَ إِلَيْهِ does not occur except in a state of being مَجْرُوْر .


## The Followers / Modifiers (التَّوَابِع)



Up to here we have completed our knowledge of all the places of , الرَّفْعْ
 word might proceed and continue on to what is after it (ie. after that word) such that it (ie. the subsequent word) is:

- مَمرْفُوْع ,
- مَ مَنْصُوْوْوْ , and so on.

The one that occurs later (in the construction) is called a "تَابِعُع" (follower). The التَّوَابِع (plural of التَّابِع ie. followers) are of four types:

## Explanation:

 one of the places that have been explained previously, then its declension is said to be primary (أَمْلِيّي) . There is another kind of declension which is said to be secondary ( تَبَعِيّ) and there is no other reason accounting for it (i.e. for the existence of this latter kind of declension) other than the fact that the عِلْكَلِمَةَ (which has secondary declension) occurs after that which has primary declension (إِعْرَابِ أَصْلِيّ) ) Hence, the word later (in the
 what is before it, and it is for that reason that it is called a "تَاْرَعْ" (follower).
We already know the primary declension (الإِعْرَابَ الَأَصْلِيّ) of words. As for the secondary declension (الَإِعْرَابِ التَّبَعِيّ ), it is of four types:

# The Qualifying or Attributive Adjective (النَّعْت) 

A type which is called "نَعْتَ" (Qualifying or Attributive Adjective), like: "
 (An intelligent foe is better than an ignorant friend).

## Explanation:

If you found a bag in the street and you hear someone saying: "ضَاعَ لِيْ كِيْسِ" (A bag of mine got lost), then it is not valid for you to give him the bag believing it to be his as long as he has not described to you its specific qualities, by saying for example:
"ضَاعَ لِليْ كِيْسنٌ صَغِيْرٌ أَسْوَدُ" (A small black bag of mine got lost). The word "
 "كَيْسِّ" due to following the word
 the second will be مَمْصُوْوْ following it (ie. following the first), like when he says: " "an
 مَنْصُوْو (as a result).



Similar to "كِيْس صَغِيْر" are:

- "رَجُلُ قَصِيْنِرْ " (a short man),
- عَعلِيُّ الَالتَّاحِحُرُ" (Ali, the Merchant),
- "حَسَنٌ الَكَاتِحْتٌ" (masan, the Writer),
- عَدُوٌّ عَاقِلٌّ" (intelligent foe),
- صَدِيْقٌ "حَاهِلٌ" (ignorant friend),
- as well as whatever resembles these examples as regards the الَأَسْمَاء الَأِ which denote the qualities and attributes of that which occurs before them.


## The Conjunction (الْعَطْف))




"وَأَأَدَيِ" (The student attains glory and honour through knowledge and good character). Similar to the الْوَاوْ (and) are:

- the الْفَاء (shortly thereafter, immediately then),
- "ثُمَّمَ" (a while thereafter),
- "أُأُوْ"
- أَأْمُ" (or),
- لَكَنْن" " (but, rather),

- "بَلْ"" (instead, rather, even better)


## Explanation:

If a pen and an inkpot broke and you want to express and communicate that event, then instead of stating two sentences, the first of which is "اْنْكَسَرَ الْقَلَلَمُ"" (the pen broke) and the second is "Oُمْ الْكَسَرَتِ الدَّوَا" الْفِعْل (nouns) thereafter separated by a
 inkpot broke). That which occurs after the مَعْطُوْفِ" "مُوْ" and that which is before it is called "مَعْمُوْفُ عَلَيْهِ" (word to which the الْمَعْطُوْفُ is conjoined).

It is necessary for the الْمَعْحُوْفُ to follow that which comes before it in the former's specific type of الْإِعْرَابُ.
 as a فَاعِلَ



 . مُضَافـ إِلَيْهِ

You say (for the rest of the conjunctions):
 you want to express the idea that the breaking of the inkpot was shortly after the breaking of the pen,

- الْاْكَسَرَ الْقَلَلْمُ ثُمَّ الَدَّوَاِّا" if you want to express the idea that the breaking of the inkpot was a while after the breaking of the pen,
- إِنْكَسَرَ الْعَلَمُمُ أَو الَدَّوَاٌِ" (The pen or the inkpot broke), if that which broke is one of the two but you are doubtful as to which one specifically,
 the pen only,
- "أَاْلْعَلَمُ كَسَرْكَ أَمِ اللدَّوَاِّةِ" (Did you break the pen or the inkpot?) if you are asking about which of the two got broken, and
- (The pen did not break instead the inkpot or but the inkpot), if that which broke was the inkpot and someone thought it to have been the pen.

Therefore, when a حَرْرف (particle) from among the أَحْرُف الْعَطَلْفِ (particles of
 according to the declension of the first.

## The Corroboration / Intensification (التَّوْكِيْد)



A type which is called "تَوْكِيْد" (corroboration / intensification), like: "
 سَارَ الْجَيْـنشُ" in "جَمِيْعُ" "كُلَّهُ أَوْ وَمِمْنعُعُهُ

## Explanation:

If someone tells you that he had spoken with the Sultan, it is customary for him to say: "خَاطَبْْتُ السُّلطَانَ نَفْسَهُ that he had spoken to just any ordinary person, then he will say: "خَاطَبْتُ فُلاَناً" (I spoke with such-and-such a person) without stating the word "نَفْسَهَهُ" after the person's name. The reason for this (ie. the use of "نَفْسُهُهُ" in the case of the Sultan but not so in the case of an ordinary person) is that speaking with the Sultan is regarded as a big matter as far as the speaker is concerned, for it might be that you mistakenly think that he had spoken with "الصشُّلُطَان" the Sultan's servant or his scribe, for example. He therefore states the word meaning thereby exactly just that and in order to dispel this mistaken and erroneous thought from the mind of the listener he adds the word "نَفْسَهُهُ" in order to convey thereby that he had spoken with Sultan himself and not one of his subordinates. It is for this reason that this word is called "تَوْكِيْند" (corroboration / intensification).

##  "نَفْسِ

- in the previous example is due to it following the word "لمَنْصُوْوْ

 because what is before it is مَمْرْفُوْوْ as and


"الْعَيْنَ" like: "تَاطَبْتُ السُّلْطَانَ عَعْنَهُ" (I spoke with the Sultan himself), and so on and so forth.

Corroboration can also be by means of the word "كَمِيْع" " and and er a general (or collective) noun, like:

- "سَارَ الْجَيْشْ ُكُلُّهُ أَوْ حَمِيْعُعُهُ" (The army, the whole of it or all of it,

- سَلَّمْمتُ عَلَمَ الْجَيْشِ كِلِّهِ أَوْ حَحمِعْعِهِ" (I greeted the army, the whole of it or all of it).
 is called "تَوْكِيْد" " since perhaps it might be mistakenly and erroneously thought that what is meant by "الْجَيْبَش" "أْشَ" (army) is "most of the army" (and not all of it) if it is not followed by the word "كُكَلُّ" "كِيْع" "


# The Substitute (الْلْبَدَ) 





 workers, left).

## Explanation:

When you say: "وَاصِعُ الَنَّحْوِ عَلِيّ" (The Pioneer and Founder of Nahw is Ali), then your sentence conveys a complete and self-contained message. However, when you say: "وَاضِعُ النَّحْوِ الِإِمَامُ عَلِيٌّ" (The Pioneer and Founder of Nahw is Imam Ali), then your sentence will have an even stronger effect and greater impact on the mind of the listener, as though you had ascribed the founding and development of Nahw to Ali twice once via the title and epithet "عَلِيّ" "الِعِمَام" and once via the name .

The word "عَلِليّ" "بَدَل" in this construction is called (substitute) and follows what is before it in the latter's specific type of الעِعْرَابِ. Therefore, it is:


 the

 . مُضَافِ إِلَيْهِ
The same can be said for "جَدَّدَ الَأَمِيْرُ الْقَصْرَ أَكْثَثَرَمْ
 workers, left) except that the الْبَدَلُ :
 congruent in meaning to the what precedes it) because "عَلِّيُّ" is congruent to " "الِعِمَامُم" in meaning,

- in the second example بَدَّلِ بَعْضِ مِنْ because "أَكْثَرَر" (most of) is part of the whole of it (ie. the whole of the castle), and
- in the third example بَدَل الْتِمَالٍ (Substitute of Relation i.e. other than being a "الحِّيْوَانُ " عِّ and "عُمَّالُهُ".


## Conclusion Local Declension (الإِعْرَاب الْمَحَلِّيّ)



When one of the indeclinable words occurs in one of the previous places then it is necessary that we utter it the way we heard it, however, we regard it as being in a
 (particular) place (of declension) neccesitates, like:

- "مُهِّ عَالِمٌ" (He is a scholar),

- "مَنْ صَدَقَ قَصْدُهُ حَسُعنَ عَمَلُقُهُ" (Anyone whose intention is pure his action will be good).


## Explanation:

We know in detail the places in which the مَرْمُفْع is in الْفِعْل , those in which it is
 مَجْرُوْر and those in which it is , those in which it is مَرْمُفُوْوْعُعْ is الاسْم

We (also) know that when a فَفْعْلَ اسْمر or occupies one of these places, then we make it الأَفْعَال except that among the , مَجْنُوْمْمْ مَفُوْع , مَنْصُوْبَ, مَجْرُوْر and الَأَمْمَاء despite changing the constructions (in which it occurs) as we already know.
 الْالْحَرّ or النَّصْبِ , الَّْحَزْم that it occurs in that place such that were we to replace it by an اسْمُ مُعْرَبر | الْ
 . الاسْم الْمُعْرَبِ

Hence, based on the aforementioned, we say concerning for example:

- "مُوَ عَالِمْم"
(هُوَ" مُبْتَأْ مَبْنِيٌّ عَلَى الْفَنْحِ فِيْ مَحَلِّ رَفْعٍ")
[
- 


 [نَصْبـ ] and
-


 indeclinable and built on a ضَرَّمَّف in a place of
and likewise you extend the rule to other similar cases.

## The Method of Syntactic Analysis / Parsing (كَيْفِيّة الإِعْرَابِ)

We know from what has gone before that:

- from the الْالْتَلِمَات are composed,
- the الْمِعْل
- of the الْكَلْمَاتِ there is that which is ind

We also know the respective places (in which the مَرْرَفُوْع , الْمُعْرَرْ
مَنْصُوْب , مَجْزُوْمْ ( or and hence it should not be difficult for us after that, when we see a particular expression, to be able to:
- read it correctly,
- distinguish between the words contained in it by identifying the لْفِعْل , the الاسْمٍ and the الْحَرْفَف among them,
- الْمُعْرَبِ الْمَبْبْنِيّ
 (among them) and
- state the reason for that being the case.

This is called "العِعْرَاب" (syntactic analysis / parsing).
Thus, we say concerning for example:
"الَ يُوَخِّرْ أَحَدٌ عَمَلَ الْيَوْمِ لِغَدٍ" (Let no one postpone today’s work until tomorrow):
"ل" "Vt"

and likewise you extend the rule to other similar cases.

والحمد لله رب العالمين

